G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907.
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 223

Serial Nos. 1—4

Papers Filed.

Month April 1908.

SUBJECT.

Declines the removal of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple building at Talakere in the Tiptur Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. | LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 223-07

SUBJECT.

Removal of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple at Talakere in the Tiptur Taluk

FROM

The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

Letter No. 378 Dated 20th January 1908

17/80 D 13th July 1907.

A memorial addressed to H. H. the Maharaja by Patel ~~Giriyamma~~ Giriyannah of Talakere in Tiptur Taluk complaining that the temple of Sri Ranganatha Swami, a private institution, in Bugadanahalli in the same Taluk was generally submerged in water for some months in the year and praying that it might be shifted elsewhere was forwarded to this office for disposal by the Private Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja.

No. 588 D 30th July 1907

The Deputy Commissioner who was requested to report on the above, states

that the temple of the Sri Ranganatha Swamy is situated on a low-ground at a distance of about half a mile from the village of Bingadanahalli, near the Sarigehalli tank, & that when the channel is full of water, during the floods, the water rushes into the temple causing damage to its stonewalls. To prevent the above damage the petitioner was directed by the Taluk authorities to restore the temple and to construct a dam. Thereupon, the petitioner's brother Thimappa gave a statement before the Amildar that necessary repairs would be executed by him with the help of his brother and other devotees. The petitioner Sriranna and his brother Thimmappa having failed to restore the temple, an endorsement was issued to the ryots of Bingadanahalli directing them to take charge of the temple & have it restored.

The petitioner then gave a statement before the Amildar that with the help of the devotees, he would contribute Rs 500/- if Government would undertake to build a new temple on a high ground. The

Deputy Comr states that considering the postponements of repairs to the temple by the petitioner, made on different occasions, the present prayer appears to have been made to prevent the Bugadanahalli villagers from coming forward to restore the temple, ~~and that~~ the temple does not require any shifting from its present site and that the proposed dam, if constructed, will prevent water from entering into it. It is further reported that the temple is a private institution and that it is for right that its hakdars should restore it. As the hakdars have however failed to repair the temple, the villagers of Bugadanalli pray that they may be permitted to undertake its repairs

Under the circumstances reported the D/Comr's recommendation to permit the Bugadanahalli villagers to execute the necessary repairs may

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

be approved & the memorialist informed accordingly.

298

Subd to the 2nd Cr / [signature] 30/1/08

446 / 1.2.08 Approved. The shifting of the Temple is unnecessary. As the hakdars have after repeated notices failed to execute necessary repairs, the villagers maybe permitted to carry them out without prejudice to the hakdars' rights.

[signature] 1/2/08

Subd to the Dewan 1/2/08 [signature]

364 / 16.3.08 Subd to the 2nd Cr for perusal [signature]

[signature] 16/3/08 Draft [signature] 16/3/08

Draft Submitted

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
The Palace, Mysore, 4-7-1907.

No. 56/1

XX
A.V.
13/7/07

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

No. 17 of 07-08.

By Order,

[signature]

I. C. S.

Private Secretary

to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
3 JUL 1907
TO H.H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

[illegible]

Recd
3.7.07

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

To

The Dy Commr

Tumkur Distt

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to forward herewith for ~~disposal~~ report memorial registered no $\frac{14}{80}$ dated 13th May 1904, addressed to H. H the Maharaja by Patel Goriappa of Talakere Grama, Tiptur Taluk, complaining that the temple of Sri Ranganatha Swamy, a private instn. in Bugadanahalli ~~Bugganahally~~ Grama in the same Taluk ~~will be merged~~ is generally submerged in water ~~during some time~~ for some months in the year, and praying that [illegible]

By order.

28/7/07

Secretary

25/7/07

Enot in the petr

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
2975
23.1.08
MUZRAI SECRETARY

Refer please
Please continue
note soon
[illegible] 23/1/08

note Subd
10.1.1908

From
The Assistant Commissioner
of Maddagiri Subdivision
in District charge

To
The Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department

Dated 20th January 1908

Despatched 22

Received

General No. 378
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Reporting on the memorial
by Patel Giriyanna of
Talakere village, Tiptur
Taluk, in re submersion
of the temple of Sri Runga
nathaswami in Bugan
dana halli village
and shifting of the idol
elsewhere

Office of the
Tumkur District
Dated 20th January 1908

From

C. Venkata Rao Esq B.A.
Assistant Commissioner, Maddagiri
Sub-Division, in charge of the
Tumkur District —

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore — Mudrai Department

Sir,

Adverting to your docket no 588, dated 30th July 1907, forwarding for report a memorial addressed to H. H. the Maharaja by Patel Giriyanna of Talakere village, Tiptur Taluk, complaining that the temple of Sri Ranganathaswami, a private institution in Bugudanahalli village in the same Taluk, is generally submerged in water for some months in the year, and praying that it

may be shifted elsewhere, I have the honour to state as follows:—

* The big old temple of Sri Ranganathaswami, which is under the management of the Petitioner, is situated on a low ground at a distance of about half a mile from the village of Bugadanahalli on the outskirts of a channel that feeds the Sarigehalli Tank. When the channel is full with water during the floods, the water rushes into the temple causing damage to its stonewalls. With a view to have the temple thoroughly repaired and also to have a dam put up for preventing the flow of water into the temple from the feeder channel, the Petitioner was being repeatedly directed by the Taluk authorities to restore the temple and to construct a dam. On 23rd January 1905, the Petitioner's brother, Thimmappa Gowda of Dalakere village gave a statement before the Amildar of the Tiptur Taluk to the effect that necessary repairs to the temple are to be executed by him with the help of the

Hakdars to the Temple as it was originally built by their ancestors, and that if he fails to get their help, he would himself undertake to execute such repairs. Moreover, the Petitioner has in his letter dated 21st September 1893 written to his brother Shimmappa Gowda, stated that he would get all the repairs to the temple done at his own cost, and he has deposed before the deputy amildar of Turvekere on the 13th August 1905 that if he fails to restore the temple he would pay Rs 250/- as penalty for the default. But both the Petitioner and his brother have failed to keep to their promise and allowed the temple to get into disrepair. On the 20th October 1906, the ryots of the Bugadanahalli village petitioned to the Amildar of Tiptur praying that the temple might be handed over to them for being restored as the Petitioner, the Manager of the Temple, had failed to put the same in order

and as it is in urgent need of repairs. Thereupon, the Amildar of Diptur issued a notice on the 12th November 1906 to the Petitioner giving him one month's time to attend to the repairs needed to the temple and also requiring him to appear before him. The Petitioner having failed to do so, an endorsement dated 21st May 1907 was issued by the Amildar of Diptur to the Bugadanahalli ryots directing them to take charge of the temple and have it restored.

From the foregoing facts, it is clear that the Petitioner and the alleged Hakdars of the temple have failed to repair the temple which has fallen into disrepair on account of their neglect, and that they are unwilling to allow the Bugadanahalli ryots to execute repairs fearing they would lose their Hak in the temple. The Petitioner gave another statement on the 16th August 1907 before the Deputy Amildar of the Turuvekere Sub Taluk stating that with

the help of the
Contribute Rs 500/-, if Government
would undertake to build a new
temple on a high ground, adding
that they are too poor to subscribe
anything more. Considering the post-
ponements of repairs to the temple by
the Petitioner made on former occasions,
the present prayer appears to have
been made to prevent the Bugadana-
halli villagers from coming forward
to restore the temple, and to allow
the temple to sustain further deterio-
ration. It is reported that the temple
does not require any shifting from its
present site and that the proposed dam,
if constructed, will prevent water
from entering into it. Moreover, it
is a private institution and it is but
right that its Hakdars should restore
it. As they have failed to do it, the
villagers of Bugadanahalli pray
that they may be permitted to under-
take its repairs. The Vernacular
papers relating to the subject are

herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

K Antunes
For Assistant Commissioner;
Maddagiri Sub division
in charge of the District

21/4

Draft as per orders.

Muzna S14

Read;

Memorial registered no 17/80 dated 13th July 1907 addressed to H H. the Maharaja by Patel Giriyannah of Yalakere in the Tiptur Taluk complaining that the temple of Sri Ranganathaswamy in Bugadanahally in the same Taluk is generally submerged in water for some months in the year and praying that it may be shifted elsewhere.

Letter no. 378 dy 20th January 1908 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Distt.

reporting on the

Order thereon no. Dy.

Patel Girizannah

prays ~~that~~ in his memorial read above that as the temple of Sri Ranganatha-swamy in Bugadanahally is generally submerged in water for some months in the year, it may be shifted elsewhere.

No. 4768 D/25.4.08
Ex cont file

The Dy. Commr reports ~~in the letter read above,~~ that the temple in question which is under the management of the petitioner, is situated on a low ground at a distance of about half a mile

from the village on the outskirts of a channel that feeds the Sanigehalli tank, that when the channel is full with water during the floods, the water rushes into the temple causing damage to its stonewalls, that with a view to have the temple repaired and also to have a dam put up for preventing the flow of water into the temple, from the feeder channel, the petitioner was being repeatedly directed by the Salem authorities to restore the temple and to construct a dam the petitioner reports that the petitioner's brother

Shummappa

Village gave a statement before the Amildar of the Tiptur Taluk to the effect that necessary repairs to the temple are to be executed by him with the help of his brother and other devotees, they being the ~~to~~ hakdars to the temple, that the peter deposed before the Dy Amildar of Turuvekere that if he fails to restore the Temple, he would pay ~~Rs~~ 250/- as penalty for the default, that both the peter and ~~[illegible]~~ his brother have failed to keep to their promise and allowed the temple to get into disrepair, that when the rajots of the Bugadanahalli

Village prayed for permission to get the temple repaired, the Amildar of Sikkur gave one months time to the petr to attend to the repairs but with no effect. It is also reported that the temple which is a private instn does not require any shifting from its present site, that the proposed dam if constructed, will prevent water from entering into the temple, the Dy Commr is of opinion that it is but right that the hakdars should restore the temple and that as they have failed to do it, the -

Villagers of Bugadanahally may be permitted to undertake its repairs

2) under the circs. reported, the Govt. observe that the shifting of the temple is unnecessary. They direct that as the Nakdars have after repeated notices failed to execute the needful repairs, the villagers may be permitted to carry them out without prejudice to the Nakdars' rights. The Dy Commr is requested to take the needful action in the matter early & report the result. The memorialists will be govt.

be informed accordingly

Dewan's order) 14/4/08
Secretary.

14/4/08

To,
The Dy. Commissioner,
Tumkur Distt. retng. the
records.

Endy to the memorialist.

ನಂ. 4769 [illegible]/25.4.08

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನ್ಮೆಂಟ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] ನೀವು ~~ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರುಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ~~ [illegible] ಮಾಹೆ 13 ನೇ [illegible]

ಮರ್ಸ್ಯಂ 17/೪೦ ನೇ ನಂಬರ ಅರ್ಜಿ ~~ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು~~. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By order

[illegible] 14/11/[illegible]

Secretary

[illegible]